

Does Mom Know Best? — Cesarean Delivery on Maternal Request (CDMR) vs. Trial of Labor (TOL)

Investigators: Cassandra Deocera, PA-S; James Napolitano, PA-S; Oriel Rafaelov, PA-S; Benjamin Rispoli, PA-S; Jessica Wilm, PA-S; Alexander Zelez, PA-S Research Advisor: Nisha Lakhi, MD Group Advisor: Robert Marchese, MS, PA-C

Introduction: Delivery has traditionally been either through trial of labor (TOL) or cesarean section (c-section), but mothers can now opt for elective c-sections without medical indications, otherwise known as cesarean delivery on maternal request (CDMR). This poses an ethical dilemma of whether or not a patient may choose her delivery modality. With this in mind, we present the following question: Among full-term pregnant women and their newborns, is there a correlation between choice of modality of delivery and neonatal and maternal outcomes?

Hypothesis: Pregnant women who deliver by CDMR will have a higher incidence of poor newborn and maternal outcomes than those who deliver by TOL

Background

Supporting Literature

- "Higher incidence of respiratory distress in CDMR versus emergency c-section" (Karlström, Lindgren, & Hildingsson, 2013)
- "Planned cesarean delivery has an increased transfer rate to ICU and increased risk of pulmonary disorders" (Kolas, Saugstad, Daltveit, Nilsen, & Oian, 2006)

Opposing Literature

- "No significant differences between Apgar score and delivery modes with sex and birth weight" (K. Rahmanian, Jahromi, V. Rahmanian, Ghasvari, & Abari, 2013)
- "CDMR when compared to spontaneous labor has a lower risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes" (Fonseca-Pérez, 2017)

American Academy of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

"The available information does not provide basis for a recommendation for either mode of delivery."

Study Design	Results			
Retrospective case control study between the dates of January 2012		TOL (n = 168)	CDMR (n = 102)	p-value
and January 2010 conducted at Dichmond University Medical Conter	EBL (ml)	682.33 (200-1500)	802.73 (236-1500)	<0.001
and January 2019 conducted at Richmond University Medical Center	Length of Stay (Days)	4.58 (3-7)	3.80 (2-6)	<0.001
in Staten Island, NY. 102 charts matching the criteria for CDMR were		00 (50 00)	07 (05 00()	
matched these to 168 control cases to include TOL.	Artificial Rupture of Membranes	89 (52.9%)	87 (85.3%)	<0.001
	Episiotomy	46 (27.4%)	0 (0.0%)	<0.001
<u>independent variables</u> :	Laceration	29 (17.3%)	0 (0.0%)	<0.001
 Demographics (age, race, BMI, insurance, gestational age) 	Maternal Fever	26 (15.5%)	1 (0.9%)	<0.001
 Mode of delivery (TOL vs. CDMR) 	APGAR at 1 Minute	9 (3-9)	9 (8-9)	0.009
Dependent Variables:	APGAR at 5 Minutes	9 (4-9)	9 (8-9)	0.223
Maternal outcomes (hospital length of stay, postpartum	Birthweight (kg)	3.22 (2-4.8)	3.37 (2.1-5.2)	0.012
hemorrhage)	Newborn Length of Stay (Days)	3.80 (2-13)	4.20 (3-24)	0.068
 Neonatal outcomes (bespital length of stay, need for 	Length of Stay in NICU	1.18 (0-13)	2.52 (0-24)	0.006
Neonatal outcomes (nospital religit) of stay, need for	Data are presented as mean (range). N (%), or median (range). All p values are Chi square except thos			
supplemental oxygen use, NICO admission and APGAR	marked with a (*) which use a 2-sided Fisher exact tests.			
scores at 1 and 5 minutes)	Discuss	ion		
Inclusion Criteria:	Mothers who delivered via			
• Primary CDMR or medically-induced TOL, ≧18 years old,	CDMR did not have a higher			
\geq 37 weeks gestation, \leq 41 weeks gestation	incidence of poor outcomes			
Exclusion Critoria:	V	vhen comp	pared to TC)L.
<u>Exclusion onteria</u> .	• •	lewborns (delivered v	ia CDMR
• Non-primary delivery, <18 years old, <37 weeks gestation,	h h	nad an equ	ual amoun	t of poor
>41 weeks gestation, multigestations, non-elective		utcomes v	when comp	ared to
c-sections, emergency deliveries	Г I	OL.	· · · ·	
c-sections, emergency deliveries		UL.		