Professionalism in PA Education as a Predictor of Future Licensing Board Disciplinary Actions

Jason Parente, MS, PA-C¹ Jared Spackman, MPAS, PA-C²

1 Physician Assistant Program, Northeastern University, Boston, MA; 2 Division of Physician Assistant Studies, Gainesville, FL





Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Physician Assistant Education Association (PAEA), the PAEA Grants and Scholarship Review Committee, and the Don Pedersen Research Grants Program for supporting this project.

This project was funded in part by a grant award from the Physician Assistant Education Association, Washington, DC. Funding of the project does not necessarily constitute an endorsement of the findings of this research report by the Physician Assistant Education Association.

Carey L. Barry, MHS, PA-C¹, Jennifer Coombs, PhD, MPAS, PA-C², Shalon Buchs, MHS, PA-C³, Sooji Kim, BS¹, Travis Grant, MS, PA-C⁴, Trenton Henry, MPH²,

II. Methods

Student data was collected from three PA programs, including the University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, and the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, for classes graduating between 2001 and 2011. This data was linked with regional professional records and coded to indicate whether students received PGDA.

Publicly available board-licensure records were consulted in the state of PA education and states with known work or residence history.

> DPV was defined as any violation of program policy or professional medical norms.

All institutions provided Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval for the project.

Outcome

Documen profession violations

Academic **Probation**

Table 1 – Logistic regression odds of post graduate disciplinary actions (PGDA)

III. Results

- .01)



	Odds of PGDA	(95% CI)	[p value]
nted nalism s (DPV)	5.15	(1.62–16.31)	[0.01]
C n	8.43	(2.85-24.92)	[<.001]

> Any DPV and academic probation were both statistically significantly associated with increased odds of PGDA (Table 1)

Participants with any prior DPV had 5.15 times greater odds of PGDA than those without prior DPV (95% CI: 1.62, 16.31; p =

Participants with documented academic probation had increased odds of PGDA with 8.43 times greater odds (95% CI: 2.85-24.92; p < .001)

IV. Conclusions

Documented professionalism violations or academic probation while in PA school are significantly associated with higher odds of receiving licensing board disciplinary action.

Academic probation has a greater magnitude of effect and could represent an intersection of professionalism and academic performance.

This supports prior studies that have shown similar associations of professionalism violations with state licensing board disciplinary action during physician training.

> Additionally, our findings bring forth academic probation as a significant concern for future behaviors and activities that prompt licensing board actions.

Meaningful efforts should identify and address professional behavior violations and academic probation during PA training.

V. References

1. Papadakis MA, Hodgson CS, Teherani A, Kohatsu ND. Unprofessional behavior in medical school is associated with subsequent disciplinary action by a state medical board. Academic medicine : journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges. Mar 2004;79(3):244-9. 2. Papadakis MA, Teherani A, Banach MA, et al. Disciplinary action by medical boards and prior behavior in medical school. New England Journal of Medicine.

2005;353(25):2673-2682.

3. Krupat E, Dienstag JL, Padrino SL, et al. Do

Professionalism Lapses in Medical School Predict Problems in Residency and Clinical Practice? Academic Medicine. 2020;95(6)

Corresponding author: Carey L. Barry; <u>c.barry@northeastern.edu</u>