ACUTE HEART FAILURE

IAN CAMPBELL, MD

DISCLOSURES

• I have no relevant disclosures

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- I. Gain better understanding of the physiology of congestive heart failure as well as its presentation and diagnosis
- 2. Learn about the important developments in CHF pharmacotherapeutics
- 3. Develop a framework for approaching CHF in the hospital

OVERVIEW

- Part I: Congestive Heart Failure Review
 - Definitions
 - Epidemiology
 - Pathophysiology
 - Clinical findings
 - Pharmacotherapy
- Part 2: CHF in the Hospital
 - Admission
 - Hospital course
 - Discharge

DEFINITIONS & TERMINOLOGY

- Failure of heart to pump blood at sufficient supply to match body's demand or ability to do so only at pathologically <u>elevated filling pressures</u>
- Systolic HF: inability to expel blood
- Diastolic HF: impaired relaxation and abnormal ventricular filling
- HFrEF: EF < 40%
- HF moderately reduced (mid-range) EF (HFmrEF): EF 40-49%
- HFpEF: EF > 50%
- GDMT = Guideline-Directed Medical Therapy
- RAS = Renin-angiotensin system
- MRA = Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist



EFFECT OF AFTERLOAD ON LV PERFORMANCE



PATHOPHYSIOLOGY: MALADAPTATIONS TO DECREASED PERFUSION (\downarrow CO)



EPIDEMIOLOGY OF ACUTE HF

- Leading cause of hospitalization in patients > 65
- 50% of hospitalizations for HF are cases of HFpEF
- 30-day readmission rate of ~20%
- Inpatient mortality: 4-12%
- Post-discharge mortality: 10% at 90-day follow-up

ETIOLOGIES: PRECIPITANTS OF ACUTE HF

- Dietary indiscretion/med nonadherence (40%)
- Ischemia or infarction (10-15%)
- Arrhythmia (**A.Fib**)
- Valvular disease (decompensated aortic stenosis $\rightarrow \uparrow$ afterload)
- HTN crisis: ↑afterload
- Drugs (βB, CCB recent increase in beta-blocker or non-DHP CCB [eg, diltiazem], NSAIDs), toxins (ETOH)
- Stress, infections, etc.

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS & MEDICAL DECISION MAKING (A BRIEF DIGRESSION)

- **Diagnostic Test**: any piece of information (history, physical, lab, imaging) that reduces uncertainty about a patient's diagnosis; tests change *probability* (no test is perfect)
- **Pretest Probability**: estimate of likelihood of diagnosis prior to a particular test (eg, prevalence, context)
- **Sensitivity** = high sensitivity helps rule out (SnOUT)
- **Specificity** = high specificity helps rule in (SpIN)
- Likelihood Ratio: How well a test differentiates patients with disease from those without disease
 - +LR: change in odds [of having x diagnosis] when finding is present = Sens / (I Sp)
 - -LR: change in odds when finding is absent = (1 Sens) / Sp
- Bayes' Rule: Pre-test probability x LR = Post-test probability



BAYES' RULE APPLIED

- Test I (green): LR = 4
- Test 2 (yellow): LR = 9

 <u>Strong tests are most useful in</u> <u>patients with moderate pretest</u> <u>probability</u>

Source: J.L. Jameson, A.S. Fauci, D.L. Kasper, S.L. Hauser, D.L. Longo, J. Loscalzo: Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 20th Edition Copyright © McGraw-Hill Education. All rights reserved.

HISTORY: SUBTYPE BY SYMPTOMS



CLINICAL FINDINGS: SIGNS

- Peripheral edema (Sens 50%, Sp 78%)
- Rales (Sens 60%, Sp 78%), dullness at bases (eg, 2/2 pleural effusion)
- Narrow pulse pressure
- Gallops: **S3** (**+LR II**), S4 (+LR I.6)
- HoTN, AMS, lethargy, cool extremities, abdominal pain, oliguria
- JVD (Sens 39%, Sp 92%; +LR 5.1)

PHYSICAL EXAM: JVP

- Jugular venous pressure elevation: 80% of the time, JVP > 10 ~ PCWP > 22
- Head of Bed at **45-degrees**:
 - No visible pulsations ~ RA pressure 5-8 cm H2O (normal)
 - Pulsations at **clavicle** ~ **I0cm** H2O
 - At midneck ~ I5cm H2O
 Abnormal
 - Angle of mandible ~ 20cm H2O
- Venous waves: soft, rippling/undulating, dominant inward deflection
- Arterial waves: vigorous, dominant outward deflection

VENOUS WAVE (EJ IN THIS CASE)



- Venous Waveforms:
 - Soft, undulating
 - Respirophasic
 - Height affected by head of bed elevation, RUQ pressure
 - Obliterates w/ palpation

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS

- Labs:
 - Routine chemistry, LFTs, CBC (? Infxn or anemia); lactate if ? shock state
 - Troponin
 - BNP: < 100pg/mL (-LR 0.09) has a high NPV for HF as cause of SOB
- EKG
 - AF (+LR 3.8), ischemia
- ECHO: for new dx or if suspicious for interval event leading to worsening EF
- CXR: Pulmonary venous congestion (+LR 12), interstitial edema (+LR 12); cardiomegaly, pleural effusions





PHARMACOTHERAPY



GDMT discussions limited to pharmacotherapy



PHARMACOTHERAPY: LOOP DIURETICS

	INPATIENT DOSING	OUTPATIENT DOSING
<u>Furosemide</u>	• 40-160mg IV	• 20-80mg PO
<u>Bumetanide</u>	• 0.5-4mg IV	• 0.5-2mg PO
<u>Torsemide</u>	 N/A (no IV form) 	• 10-40mg PO

40mg IV Lasix = 80mg PO Lasix = 20mg PO Torsemide = 1mg PO/IV Bumex

LOOP DIURETICS

- Sigmoidal dose response curve;

 dose until diuresis effect,
 beyond which \^'ing dose less
 effective
- Monitoring: ↑↑ Cr (small ↑ acceptable), ↑Na, ↓K, ↓Mg, ↓Ca; ototoxicty, hyperuricemia



GDMT: HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE



GDMT: EVIDENCE OF MORTALITY BENEFIT





GDMT: ARNI: NEPRILYSIN INHIBITOR + ARB (SACUBITRIL-VALSARTAN)

- Dual action: blocks harmful effects of RAS activation AND prevents degradation of beneficial natriuretic peptides; vasodilation + natriuresis
- PARADIGM-HF (NEJM 2014): ↓CV mort & HF hosp c/w ACEI
- For patients meeting specific criteria--no hx angioedema, absence of HoTN, K < 5—ARNI is first-line therapy
- For ACEI \rightarrow ARNI, 36-hour washout recommended; no washout needed for ARB \rightarrow ARNI
- Sacubitril 24mg/valsartan 26mg bid \rightarrow \rightarrow 97mg/103mg (target dose)

DIASTOLIC HF (HFPEF)

- No proven benefit of GDMT:TOPCAT (NEJM 2014): MRA \$\phinot hospitalization w/o significant reduction in mort.
- Diuresis (poor LV compliance)
- Prevention of ischemia and tachycardia (Udiastolic filling time, loss of atrial kick in AF)
- Mortality ~ HFrEF

PART 2: CHF IN THE HOSPITAL

FRAMEWORK FOR HOSPITALIZATION: ADMISSION, TREATMENT/OPTIMIZATION, DISCHARGE

• Goals:

- Reverse acute decompensation
- Alter long-term trajectory to improve outcomes
- Trajectories
 - Improving toward target
 - Stalled after initial response
 - Not improving and/or worsening



Steven M. Hollenberg et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019; 74:1966-2011.





Steven M. Hollenberg et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019; 74:1966-2011.



CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: COMORBIDITIES

Cardiovascular:

- CAD
- AF, AFL
- CVA
- PAD
- Valvular DiseaseHTN

Systemic:

- DM
- CKD
- Liver Disease
- Chronic lung disease
- OSA

Psychosocial:

- Dementia
- Depression
- Substance use
- Inadequate social support

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: ADMISSION: RISK STRATIFICATION

Lower Risk

- Normal BP and HR
- Rapid resolution of sx in the ED
- Normal renal, liver function; normal BNP and troponin

Intermediate Risk

- New-onset HF
- Low BP without shock, tachycardia
- Lab abnormalities: ↑Cr, +troponin (without ACS), ↓Na, ↑BNP, ↑LFTs

High Risk

 Hypoxia, respiratory distress, shock, severe comorbid condition (sepsis, ACS, stroke)



NON-ACS TROPONIN ELEVATIONS

- J.You et al., Am Heart J. (2007)
- Elevated cardiac troponin levels, measured here within first 48 hrs of admission for acute HF, were statistically significant predictors of mortality during hospitalization and at 1 year.
- Helps identify high-risk patients





Inder S. Anand. Circulation. Changes in Brain Natriuretic Peptide and Norepinephrine Over Time and Mortality and Morbidity in the Valsartan Heart Failure Trial (Val-HeFT), Volume: 107, Issue: 9, Pages: 1278-1283, DOI: (10.1161/01.CIR.0000054164.99881.00)
CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: ASSESSMENT OF CONGESTION & PERFUSION

Warm & Dry Compensated; consider alternate causes for SOB	Warm & Wet Needs diuresis; most common scenario for floor
Cold & Dry	Cold & Wet
Needs inotropes; CCU	Needs diuresis + inotropes; CCU

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: GOAL SETTING

- Goals of hospitalization
 - Identify triggering event, evaluate & tx accordingly
 - Decongestion
 - The greater the degree of congestion, the longer the length of stay
 - GDMT optimization
 - Risk factor optimization
 - Identify patients at risk for bad outcome and counsel accordingly
 - Advanced therapies (transplant, mechanical circ. support, inotropes)
 - Palliative consultation, identification of surrogate decision makers

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: DECONGESTION

- Symptoms of congestion often improve before signs (need improvements in both)
- Weight Δ (compare admission weight to prior "dry" weight)
 - Mehta RH, et al.Am J Cardiol (2009): Weight loss in the hospital correlates w/ decongestion but does not directly improve outcomes
- Natriuretic peptide-guided (BNP, NT-proBNP) treatment has not been shown to improve ACM or HF readmissions (Stienen S, et al. PRIMA II, *Circulation* 2018)
- Na excretion?

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: DECONGESTION

- Hodson DZ, et al. ROSE-AHF Trial (JACC 2019)
 - ↑ Na excretion associated more closely w/ reduced mortality compared with UOP, net fluid balance, and weight loss
 - When Na output < dietary intake, even w/ net negative fluid balance, prognosis was worse
- ?: Diuretics targeted to natriuresis effect rather than UOP or weight loss



CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: DECONGESTION

- Goal: complete decongestion (JACC Guidelines 2019)
- Resolution of orthopnea & dyspnea at rest
- Resolution of edema
- JVP < 8cm (below clavicle)

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: DECONGESTION

Loop diuretics:

- Convert outpatient PO regimen to IV, start IV dose at 1-2.5x total daily outpt dose
- Dose bid-tid

Serial monitoring of s/sx, electrolytes, daily weights, UOP, BP

- Improving? Continue diuretics, targeting congestion relief
- **Stalled?** Escalate loop diuretic by 50-100%, consider thiazide; consider expert consultation
- Worsening? Seek expert consultation

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: DECONGESTION: DIET

- Fluid restriction (esp. if hyponatremia): 1.5 2 L/day
- Na restriction: 2-3 g/day
- Aggressive Na restriction → ? increased mortality (JACC Heart Fail. 2016 Jan;4(1):24-35), no effect on weight loss (JAMA Intern. Med. 2013;173(12):1058-1064)

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: NITRATES, MORPHINE?

- Nitrates:
 - Mech: venodilation $\rightarrow \downarrow$ preload \rightarrow relief of congestive sx
 - Esp. in patients with ischemic sx and/or severe HTN
- Morphine:
 - Anxiolysis; venodilation (\rightarrow relief of congestive sx)
 - ? Benefit vs risk, eg of resp. fail. & mech. vent (Emerg Med J. 2008 Apr;25(4):205-9)

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: GDMT

- Initiate or titrate as patients are improving toward target (decongestion)
- Previously on GDMT:
 - If possible, continue prior outpatient regimen
 - If contemplating switch to ARNI, consider changing ACEI to ARB early in hospitalization (no washout required w/ ARB)
 - Common reasons for withholding
 - ACE/ARB: HoTN, AKI, hyperkalemia
 - β-blocker: refractory congestion (halve dose), HoTN, recent inotrope use
- New start: start low dose, titrate slowly; add Rx sequentially

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: GDMT

- RAS inhibitor:ARNI,ARB,ACEI
 - Watch BPs, Cr, K
- β-blocker (carvedilol, metoprolol succinate, bisoprolol)
 - Caution if at risk for, or recovering from, cardiogenic shock
- MRA:
 - Assuming adequate renal function and normal K
- Hydralazine-nitrate:
 - For patients intolerant of RAS inhibitor

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: COMORBIDITY OPTIMIZATION

- CAD (ischemic CM): high-potency statin, antiplatelet
- HTN: Nitrates, amlodipine, hydralazine
- AF: Rhythm control often favored over rate control; anticoagulate
- OSA: CPAP
- Iron def. +/- anemia: AFFIRM-AHF (Lancet 2020): IV iron reduces risk of HF hosp.
 - No effect on risk of CV death
- DM: usual glycemic targets; role of SGLT-2i (next slide)

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: SGLT2 INHIBITORS

- SGLT2 (Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2) receptors found in nephron (PCT), involved in glucose uptake
- In patients with or without T2DM, studies found a significant reduction in cardiovascular death and in HF hospitalizations in patients treated with dapagliflozin (DAPA-HF) or empagliflozin (EMPEROR-Reduced)
 - DAPA-HF (NEJM 2019): > 4500 patients with EF </= 40% randomized to dapagliflozin or placebo
 - Primary outcome (CV death or worsening HF): 16.3% in dapagliflozin vs 21.2% in placebo (HR 0.74, CI 0.65-0.85, p < 0.001)
- Especially useful in patients with HF + T2DM w/ est.ASCVD; may be used as adjunct in HF patients without DM but with persistent symptoms despite optimal medical therapy
- Slows progression of renal disease
- Risk of urogenital infection (Fournier's!), side effects of additional diuretic (glycosuric)

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: DISCHARGE PLANNING

- Verify PO diuretic effectiveness (~24 hr)
- Maintenance diuretic dosing: goal of fluid balance rather than net diuresis
 - Consider torsemide or bumetanide in patients requiring high furosemide doses and in patients with "gut" edema
- Rescue diuretic plan for weight gain (eg, 2-5 lbs in < 1 week), sx of congestion
- Fluid restriction (2L or 64 oz)
- Potassium supplementation
 - Discretion in patients at high-risk for hyperkalemia (CKD, DM)
- GDMT:
 - Confirm patient tolerance of discharge regimen—check for orthostatic dizziness or hypotension
 - If prior therapy interrupted during hospitalization, uptitration may need to be continued several weeks postdischarge

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: DISCHARGE DOCUMENTATION

- Suspected trigger for decompensation
- Updates to HF type (eg, new EF)
 - Don't forget to include specificity of diagnosis for coders, (eg, acute on chronic LV systolic HF), as well as CCs and MCCs related to acute HF
- Weight at d/c
- If residual congestion, document reason (eg, renal failure, hypotension, RV failure)
- BNP, Cr at d/c
- CHANGES TO OUTPATIENT MED REGIMEN

CHF IN THE HOSPITAL: DISCHARGE F/U

- Phone call in 2-3 days
 - Sx assessment
 - Prescription confirmation
 - Reinforce rescue plan
- Clinic appointment in 1-2 weeks
 - Clinical assessment
 - Laboratory assessment (esp. important if recent initiation or titration of diuretic or ACE/ARB/ARNI)
- I in 5 patients will be readmitted within 30 days!

TAKE-HOME POINTS

- Knowledge of heart failure pathophysiology aids in the diagnosis and management of HF at the clinical level
- There are robust evidence-based guidelines for the treatment of heart failure; knowledge of these guidelines are important, as HF is a commonly encountered problem in the hospital setting
- Application of a systematic framework can aid in the management of heart failure in the hospitalized patient.

REFERENCES

- Bloom M, Cole RT, Butler J. Evaluation and Management of Acute Heart Failure. Hurst's The Heart
- Citation: Chapter 59 Clinical Pharmacology and the Kidney, Lerma EV, Rosner MH, Perazella MA. CURRENT Diagnosis & Treatment: Nephrology & Hypertension, 2e; 2017. Available at: https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?sectionid=177432286&bookid=2287 Accessed: August 19, 2021
- Chapter 3 Decision-Making in Clinical Medicine, Jameson J, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Loscalzo J. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 20e; 2018. Available at: https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?sectionid=192010175&bookid=2129 Accessed: September 29, 2021
- Wang CS, Fitzgerald JM, Schulzer M, Mak E, Ayas NT. Does This Dyspneic Patient in the Emergency Department Have Congestive Heart Failure? JAMA. 2005; 294(15):1944-1956. doi:10.1001/jama.294.15.1944
- Chapter 59 Clinical Pharmacology and the Kidney, Lerma EV, Rosner MH, Perazella MA. CURRENT Diagnosis & Treatment: Nephrology & Hypertension, 2e; 2017. Available at: https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?sectionid=177432286&bookid=2287 Accessed: August 19, 2021
- Sacks, CA, et al. Paradigm shifts in heart-failure therapy—a timeline. NEJM 2014;371:989-991
- Pitt B, et al. Effect of losartan compared with captopril on mortality in patients with symptomatic heart failure: randomized trial—the losartan heart failure survival study ELITE II. Lancet. 2000 May 6; 355(9215):1582-7

REFERENCES (CONT.)

- Pitt B. et al. Spironolactone for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. NEJM 2014;370(15):1383-92
- Collins SP, et al. Identification of Emergency Department Patients with Acute Heart Failure at Low Risk for 30-day Adverse Events: the STRATIFY decision tool. J.Am Coll Cardiol HF 2015;3:737-47.
- Steven M. Hollenberg et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019; 74:1966-2011.
- You JJ, Austin PC, Alter DA, Ko DT, Tu JV. Relationship between cardiac troponin I and mortality in acute decompensated heart failure. Am Heart J. 2007 Apr: 153(4):462-70. PMID 17383280
- Ambrosy AP, et al. Clinical course and predictive value of congestion during hospitalization in patients admitted for worsening signs and symptoms of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: findings from the EVEREST trial. European Heart Journal, Volume 34, Issue 11, 14 March 2013, Pages 835-43
- Hodson DZ, et al. Natriuretic response is highly variable and associated with 6-month survival: insights from the ROSE-AHF trial. JACC: Heart Failure, Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2019, 383-391
- Stienen S, Salah K, et al. NT-proBNP-guided therap in acute decompensated heart failure. Circ 137, no. 16;1671-83 (2018)
- Ponikowski P. et al. Ferric carboxymaltose for iron deficiency at discharge after acute heart failure: a multicentre, double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Lancet 2020;12;396(10266):1895-1904.
- McMurray JJV, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. NEJM 2019 Nov 21;381(21):1995-2008.

QUESTIONS?

• Email: ian.s.campbell@vumc.org