Scoring

Each area is scored on a 0 to 5 scale

- O: Very Poor: This information is not included; could not be reviewed
- 1: Poor: This is presented but in no detail; very little details are included
- 2: Acceptable: This information is limited in nature; missing several major details
- **3: Good:** The information is described in adequate detail; missing one major detail or several small details
- 4: Very Good: The information is included in good detail; missing one or two minor details
- 5: Perfect: The information is clear, comprehensive; nothing is missing

Background and Purpose or Clinical Importance (100-word limit)

For case studies and program descriptions: Is background information included in the abstract? Is the information clinically important, relevant and significant? Is the information presented unique and notable?

For evidenced-based medicine: Is the information clinically important, relevant and significant? Is the information presented unique and notable? Is the purpose or clinical importance clearly stated? If this information may be found in Up to Date, Cochrane, or is common information and does not add to the literature, the maximum score is a 2/5.

For all other submissions: Is background information included in the abstract? Is the purpose/objective clearly stated? Is the scope of the topic too broad or too narrow?

For AAPA 2021: Research will not need to be complete prior to submitting for consideration.

Description and Methodology (150-word limit)

<u>For case studies:</u> Is the description of the case study clear and understandable? Are the clinical procedures used adequately described? If applicable, are the data collection and experimental technique adequate for the study?

<u>For program descriptions</u>: Is the description of the program clear and understandable? Are all aspects of the program adequately described? If applicable, are the data collection and experimental technique adequate for the study? If there is no evaluation component, the maximum score is a 2/5.

<u>For evidenced-based medicine:</u> Is the description of the literature search parameters clearly stated and relevant to the topic? Is there rationale for inclusion within the study? Is the method for evaluating the studies clearly outlined?

For all other submissions: Is the description of the materials and methodology clear and understandable? Are the data collection and experimental techniques adequate for the study? Are the analytical procedures used adequately described? Is the research design appropriate for the data collected and the subject of the study?

For AAPA 2021: Research will not need to be complete prior to submitting for consideration.

Results *(150-word limit)

For case studies: What was the result of the H & P, diagnostics, etc? Was the diagnosis correct?

For program descriptions: Was the description of the program clear and well thought out? If there is no evaluation component, the maximum score will be a 2/5.

For evidenced-based medicine: Are the results presented in sufficient detail to support the conclusions? Do they follow the data and analysis?(NOTE: Unless it is student research, this segment should not state "results will be discussed" or "other data will be presented." Student research may be in progress and not have results.)

For all other submissions: Are the results presented in sufficient detail to support the conclusions? Do they follow the data and analysis? (NOTE: Unless it is student research, this segment should not state "results will be discussed" or "other data will be presented." Student research may be in progress and not have results.)

* Note that submissions may use LT/GT or less than/greater than in place of "< or >".

For AAPA 2021 only: Research will not need to be complete prior to submitting for consideration. In order to receive the highest rating on your work in progress, please include, in detail, what you hypothesize to find and how the methods contributed.

Discussion and Conclusions *(100-word limit)

For case studies: Were the right conclusions drawn?

For program descriptions: Did the program accomplish its purpose?

<u>For evidenced-based medicine:</u> Do the conclusions follow the results? Are the discussed in sufficient detail? (NOTE: Unless it is student research, this segment should not state "results will be discussed" or "other data will be presented." Student research may be in progress and not have results.)

<u>For all other submissions:</u> Are the conclusions clear and the interpretation sound? (NOTE: Unless it is student research, this segment should not state "results will be discussed" or "other data will be presented." Student research may be in progress and not have results.)

<u>For AAPA 2021 only:</u> Research will not need to be complete prior to submitting for consideration. In order to receive the highest rating on your work in progress, please include, in detail, how the hypothesized results will further our knowledge on PA practice or education.

Originality and Usefulness *

Does the abstract have practical application for PAs? Does it provide new medical knowledge, interpersonal and communication skills, or applicable information about patient care, professionalism, practice-based learning and improvement, and systems-based practice? Is the case study or research original? Does it add new information to the body of literature?

If this research may be found in Up to Date, Cochrane, or is common information and does not add to the literature, the maximum score is a 2/5.

Additional Points

Provide 1 point for each of the following

Special Populations

Does this project impact special populations such as underrepresented minorities, older or younger populations, or those with limited access to health care?

Well Written

Is the submission particularly well written? Is it free of grammatical and spelling errors? Is it easy to read and understand? Did the author(s) make use of the word limits for the abstract?

National Health Quality Initiatives

Does the submission align with one of the National Health Quality Initiatives? This includes Diabetes, Obesity, Veterans, Preventive Services, Oral Health, and CORE REMS (opioid risk mitigation strategies)

Include References
Are appropriate references included?

Final Recommendations

Make your final recommendation for this submission.
Accept for ePoster
Accept for Research in Action
Accept for ePoster and Research in Action
Reject

Do you recommend that this submission be sent to JAAPA for consideration for publication? Yes/No

Do you recommend that this poster be considered as a short presentation? Yes/No