

A Scoping Review of Remediation in Graduate Medical Education

Roman Sereda, DMSc, PA-C; Zachary Kossiver, PA-C University of Tampa, Department of Physician Assistant Medicine



Learning Objectives:

- 1.Understand the importance of structured remediation in graduate medical education.
- 2.Identify common challenges and barriers to effective remediation.
- 3.Evaluate evidence-based strategies for improving remediation outcomes.
- 4.Recognize the role of faculty and institutional policies in supporting struggling students.

Research Question:

What are the current practices, challenges, and evidence-based recommendations for implementing effective remediation protocols in graduate medical education?

Abstract:

Remediation in graduate medical education is essential for supporting underperforming learners, yet inconsistent policies and a lack of standardization hinder its effectiveness. Faculty training gaps, stigma, and resource constraints further complicate implementation. Competency-based medical education (CBME) emphasizes structured interventions, but many programs lack clear remediation strategies. This review analyzes current remediation practices, identifies key challenges, and provides evidence-based recommendations to enhance transparency, structure, and student success in medical education. Addressing these gaps is crucial for ensuring competent future healthcare professionals.

Table 1. Etiology of Failure	
Etiology	Examples
Struggle or failure due to	Student exhibits deficits in understanding history-taking,
underlying deficits in	physical examination, clinical knowledge, clinical
cognitive skills	reasoning, 33,47,48 and/or academic preparation.49
	Student fails quizzes, exams, OSCEs, etc.
	Remediation of isolated cognitive deficits have been the focus
	of most studies and is broadly implemented in many
	remediation programs due to the frankness.46
Struggle or failure due to	Student exhibits deficiencies in professionalism30,50,51 and
underlying deficits in	communication.52
noncognitive skills	Students may pass or fail quizzes, exams, OSCEs, and/or core
	academia, however, does not comprehend the social science of
	medicine, thus may fail professionalism assessments.
	Studies indicate noncognitive are the most difficult to
	remediate,50,53 and will not be the focus of the recommendations
	section of this scoping review.
Struggle or failure due to	Life stressors, medical diagnosis, death within family, etc.
external causes	Etiology of struggle or failure is external to academia
Struggle or failure due to	A mixture of each subcategory appropriately termed by
mixed etiology	Bourgeois et al as the "social determinants of performance."9
	Students are likely to struggle across the spectrum of medical
	education.
	Studies indicate these students may exhibit deficits in time
	management skills, emotional intelligence and well-being,12,54,55
	and professionalism. Thus, deemed high risk for failure.56

^a Multiple studies posit that most struggling students present with two failure etiologies.^{6,7,9,12,16,30,33,47,48,50,52,53,57}

Table 1 categorizes the different causes of academic struggles among graduate medical students, dividing them into four main etiologies.

Step	Description
Early Identification	Use multiple assessments (exams, OSCEs, faculty evaluations) to detect
	struggling learners.
Diagnosis of Deficiency	Determine whether the issue is cognitive (knowledge/skills) or non-cognitive
	(professionalism, communication, external factors) with student's input.
Dedicated Faculty	Assign trained mentors/faculty to provide targeted guidance and support.
Support	
Individualized	Develop tailored interventions, success coaching, and structured learning
Remediation Plan	strategies based on student needs while considering their input.
Reassessment &	Implement clear consequences for success or failure, ensuring competency
Follow-up	before progression.

struggling learners in graduate medical education.

Figure 1: Proposed Remediation Process



Methods: A scoping review analyzed remediation strategies in graduate medical education. Searches across multiple databases (PubMed, JSTOR, EMBASE, CINAHL) identified 343 studies (1989– 2024), with 90 meeting inclusion criteria. Studies were selected based on relevance to remediation policies, competency assessment, and retention strategies. Key themes were synthesized to highlight best practices and major challenges.

Results: Remediation lacks standardization, leading to inconsistent student outcomes. Barriers include unclear policies, faculty inexperience, limited resources, legal concerns, and remediation stigma. Best practices include early identification of struggling learners, recognizing the etiology of failure (Table 1), implementing individualized remediation plans (Table 2), and assigning dedicated trained faculty mentors.

Conclusion: A standardized, evidencebased remediation approach (Figure 1) improves student retention and competency. Institutions should establish clear policies, train faculty, and reduce stigma. Implementing structured early intervention and reassessment ensures struggling learners receive effective support, ultimately enhancing medical education and the quality of future healthcare professionals.