

Examining Clinical Productivity: The Influence of Advanced Practice Providers in Plastic Surgery

Michelle G. Roy, MPAP, PA-C^{1,2}; Sarah A. Layon, BS^{1,2}; Matthew J. Parham, MS^{1,2}; Heather R. Burns, BA^{1,2}; Jordan L. Rodriguez, BS²; Larry H. Hollier, MD^{1,2}; Kristina Marsack, MS, PA-C^{1,2}

¹ Division of Plastic Surgery, Michael E. DeBakey Department of Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

² Division of Plastic Surgery, Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX

INTRODUCTION

- Advanced practice providers (APPs) have faced resistance as they assumed greater autonomy, despite the benefits of using APPs in team-based care models.^{1,2}
- APPs are especially valuable in plastic surgery due to complex perioperative care, frequency of staged procedures, and broad scope of practice.
- Several surgical specialties have reported increased surgical volume and reduced wait times due to APP integration.¹⁻⁵ Despite this, there is limited data on the impact of APPs in plastic surgery at academic institutions.

METHODS

Retrospective review of APP and surgeon performance metrics for the division of plastic surgery at Texas Children's Hospital -Oct. 2012 to Sep. 2023

Metrics included the number of clinic visits, OR cases, and providers. Clinical fulltime equivalents (FTE) was used as a proxy for the # of APPs.

Surgical conversion rate = $\frac{MD \ clinic \ visits}{MD \ clinic \ visits}$ OR cases

RESULTS





Clinic encounters per APP and per MD

- 2013: APPs saw I patient for every 5 by MDs
- 2020 onward: APPs have matched or surpassed the number of encounters by MDs per provider.

Surgical case volume by fiscal year

and surgical backlog.

2014





• The COVID-19 pandemic led to clinical delays

• FY 2021 to FY 2023: OR cases surpassed pre-COVID volumes despite a stable number of MDs

Baylor College of Medicine

CONCLUSION

- Optimizing APP utilization has corresponded with increased productivity.
- Growing operative volume and surgical conversion rates suggest APPs may be generating additional procedural time for MDs.
- **Limitations:** Insufficient granularity to examine productivity beyond clinical & surgical volume. Our division has used both 1:1 pairing and team-based models. Transition periods could not be adjusted for.

As APPs continue to evolve their roles, institutions are responsible for incorporating patient care models that fully leverage the training and skillset of all providers.

REFERENCES

1. Hudson CL. Expansion of medical professional services with nonprofessional personnel. IAMA. 1961;176:839 841. doi: 10.1001/jama.1961.03040230005002

2. Adamson TE. Critical issues in the use of physician associates and assistants. Am J Public Health. 1971;61(9):1765-1779. doi:10.2105/ajph.61.9.1765

3. Chao AH, Yaney A, Skoracki RJ, Kearns PN. The Impact of Physician Assistants on a Breast Reconstruction Practice: Outcomes and Cost Analysis. Ann Plast Surg. 2017;79(3):249-252. doi:10.1097/SAP.000000000001087 4. Resnick CM, Daniels KM, Flath-Sporn SJ, Doyle M, Heald R, Padwa BL. Physician Assistants Improve Efficiency and Decrease Costs in Outpatient Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2016;74(11):2128-2135. doi: 10 1016/i joms 2016 06 195

5. Althausen PL, Shannon S, Owens B, et al. Impact of hospital-employed physician assistants on a level I community-based orthopaedic trauma system. J Orthop Trauma. 2013;27(4):e87-91. doi: 10.1097/BOT.0b013e3182647f29

6. Bohm ER, Dunbar M, Pitman D, Rhule C, Araneta J. Experience with physician assistants in a Canadian arthroplasty program. Can J Surg. 2010;53(2):103-108.

7. Hollenbeck BK, Kaufman SR, Oerline M, et al. Effects of Advanced Practice Providers on Single-specialty Surgical Practice. Ann Surg. 2023;277(1):e40-e45. doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000