Exploring Compensation Models, Earnings Incongruities, and Autonomy Preferences Among **Dermatology PAs in Pennsylvania**

Cynthia F. Griffith, MPAS, PA-C¹; Richard Froman PhD²; Hayden Middleton DMSc, PA-C³

1. Department of Dermatology, University of Texas Southwester Medical Center, Dallas, Tx 2. Department of Psychology, John Brown University Siloam Springs, AR 3. Department of Family Medicine, M Health Fairview, St. Paul, MN

Introduction

- · Dermatology Physician Assistants/Associates (PAs) make up 4,580 of the practicing PAs in the US.¹
- Dermatology PAs manage an average patient load that exceeds 100 patients per week, significantly more than other specialities but only early \$10,000 more on average compared to PAs in other specialities (Figure 1).¹
- A 2017 SDPA salary survey showed that PAs who received a percent collections had higher reported salaries.⁴
- It stands that there is a conspicuous gap in our understanding of how or if productivity measures like individual collections may influence PA's remuneration.

Figure 1: Productivity of Dermatology Physician Assistants (PAs) as Measured in Patients Seen per Week and Hours Worked per Week in 2021



Methodology

- Dataset used for this analysis was derived from previously published salary survey administered by Pennsylvania Dermatology Physician Assistants (PDPA) who surveyed their 346 members.⁶
- Study received a total of 84 responses (84/346) yielding a 24% response rate.
- Statistical Analysis: The salary of full-time PAs was assessed using a single-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's HSD, categorizing types into production-based, base salary plus bonus, base salary only, and hourly. To compare between compensation types, a two-sample t-test assuming equal variances was employed. Furthermore, the relationship between years of experience and productivity was explored through the calculation of the Pearson correlation coefficient.

2.

Results

- With the full-time participants only, there was a significant difference in pay by compensation structure, F(2,76)=9.98, p<0.001.
- PAs' collections were significantly higher for dermatology PAs compensated based on a production-based structure versus a base salary plus a bonus structure, t(46)=4.20, p=0.0001.
- When comparing the productivity measured in patients per hour, there was a positive correlation between productivity and collections, r(63)=0.540, p<0.001, r²=0.292.
- There is no difference in productivity based on compensation structure type t(62)=1.40, p=0.17.
- There was no significant relationship between years of experience and productivity as measured in patients seen per hour, r(82)= 0.038, p= 0.71.
- PAs who earned the highest income reported job autonomy as a top value rs (79)=-0.2208, p=0.048.



- The survey did not include questions regarding the acquisition of benefits by the respondents through their employers; benefits, such as health insurance, retirement plans, or paid time off.
- In light of these limitations, it is essential to consider the potential missing information when drawing conclusions or making generalizations about the compensation and benefits of physician assistants.

Author Contact Information:

Cynthia F. Griffith, MPAS, PA-C: Cynthia.Griffith@utsouthwestern.edu Hayden Middleton, DMSc, PA-C: Hayden.Middleton16@gmail.com

References

questions about compensation benefits and other factors

Physician assistants being given freedom, independence and

discretion may feel respected and valued. This could result

in positive effects on productivity and as a downstream

that influence compensation would be a good next step.

Available on request

result higher compensation.