Knee Replacement

Current Alignment Strategies & Robotics

Joseph Maratt, MD, MBA





Institutional Support

Stryker Arthrex Medacta Enovis Miach Orthopedics



Shareholder

Forté/Franciscan Orthopedic Surgery Center

Paid Consultant Stryker Medacta

Detailed disclosure information is available via:

AAOS Disclosure Program on the AAOS website at http://www.aaos.org/disclosure

Knee Arthritis

Inflammation and deterioration of knee joint **cartilage & bone**.

Pain

Swelling

Stiffness

Limited range of motion

Instability



Spectrum



Treatment Options

Mild	Moderate	Severe
Rest, Ice		
Assistive Devices		
Activity Modification		
Exercise ———		
Physical Therapy		
	Bracing	
Medications Ibuprofen, Meloxicam, Celeb	rex	
	Injections Steroid, Toradol, HA, PRP	
Knee Arthroscopy		Knee Replacement

Knee Replacement

Resurfacing of the distal femoral, proximal tibial and patellar articular surfaces, replacing damaged cartilage surfaces with metal and plastic surfaces.





Severe knee arthritis causing pain and disability that non-operative treatments have failed to relieve.

Non-operative treatment

Weight loss Physical therapy & exercise Medications Brace Injections

Effective

Predictably Improves Pain Predictably Improves Function

Excellent long term outcomes

Cost Effective

Daigle ME, Weinstein AM, Katz JN, Losina E. The cost-effectiveness of total joint arthroplasty: A systematic review of published literature. Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology 2012;26:649–58. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2012.07.013. Waimann CA, Fernandez-Mazarambroz RJ, Cantor SB, Lopez-Olivo MA, Zhang H, Landon GC, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Total Knee Replacement: A Prospective Cohort Study. Arthritis Care & Research 2014;66:592–9. doi:10.1002/acr.22186. Scuderi GR, Insall JN, Windsor RE, and Moran MC: Survivorship of cemented knee replacements. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1989; 71: pp. 798-803

Demand



Kurtz S. Projections of Primary and Revision Hip and Knee Arthroplasty in the United States from 2005 to 2030. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2007.

The New Hork Times



Knee Replacement May Be a Lifesaver for Some

By TARA PARKER-POPE FEBRUARY 27, 2012 5:49 PM 41



Stuart Bradford

By the time 64-year-old Laura Milson decided to undergo <u>total knee</u> <u>replacement</u> after 12 years of suffering from <u>arthritis</u>, even a short walk to the office printer was a struggle.

After her surgery last August at the Rothman Institute at Thomas Jefferson University in Philadelphia, Ms. Milson spent a week in rehabilitation and says she hasn't stopped walking since. "My son says to me, 'You have to slow down,' and I say, 'No, I have to catch up!,'" she said. "It's a whole different life." For Ms. Milson, who lives in Shrewsbury, Pa., replacing the joint in her right knee came with a surprising bonus: a 20-pound weight loss in two months. "I joked with my doctor, 'I think you put a diet chip in my knee,'" she said. "The weight just sort of came off."

Now she has joined Weight Watchers to drop a few extra pounds and is training for a three-day <u>breast cancer</u> walk in October.

For years surgeons have boasted of the pain relief and improved quality of life that often follow knee replacement. But now new research suggests that for some patients, knee replacement surgery can actually save their lives.

In a sweeping study of <u>Medicare</u> records, researchers from Philadelphia and Menlo Park, Calif., examined the effects of joint replacement among nearly 135,000 patients with new diagnoses of <u>osteoarthritis</u> of the knee from 1997 to 2009. About 54,000 opted for knee replacement; 81,000 did not.

Three years after diagnosis, the knee replacement patients had an 11 percent lower risk of <u>heart failure</u>. And after seven years, their risk of dying for any reason was 50 percent lower.

The study, presented this month at the annual meeting of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons, was financed with a grant from a knee replacement manufacturer. It was not randomized, so it may be that these patients were healthier and more active to start with.



Adrija Sharma, Richard Komistek, Insall & Scott Surgery of the Knee, 2012

Development

1860 Interposition of soft tissues1860 Resection

1940 Metal femoral mold

1958 Hemiarthroplasty (McKeever, MacIntosh)

1971 Cemented Arthroplasty (Gunston, Freeman-Swanson)



POLYCENTRIC KNEE ARTHROPLASTY

Prosthetic Simulation of Normal Knee Movement

FRANK H. GUNSTON, WINNIPEG, CANADA From the Centre for Hip Surgery, Wrightington Hospital, Lancashire, England

Movement in the normal knee joint follows a multiple centre or polycentric pathway. This paper will attempt to show the advantages of prosthetic simulation of normal knee movement for the difficult problem of the painful and unstable knee in rheumatoid polyarthritis. The biomechanical principles and experience gained from total hip replacement arthroplasty were combined with an analysis of normal knee movement to determine a solution.

NORMAL KNEE JOINT MOVEMENT

Movement in the normal knee is a complex movement composed of rocking, gliding and axial rotation (Fig. 1). Beginning at full extension, axial rotation of the femur about the tibia occurs during the initial 10 degrees of flexion. At 10 degrees of flexion the axial rotation converts to rocking movement in which the femoral condyles roll posteriorly on the tibial plateaus. The rocking movement changes to gliding motion at about 20 degrees of flexion, after which successive points on the femoral condyles slide forward on the tibial plateaus until full flexion is obtained.



The instant centres determined for each increment of flexion move posteriorly in a spiral pattern (Fig. 1). These instant centres do not remain fixed in one position as would a simple hinge joint, but instead describe a multiple centre or polycentric pathway.





50 years later



Total Knee Replacement



Treatment for knee arthritis in multiple compartments

Modern implants & positioning come close to normal kinematics/function

More durable Less likely to require revision

Survivorship

8% risk of revision at 20 years

Cumulative Percent Revision of Primary Total Knee Replacement (Primary Diagnosis OA)





27,372 Knee Replacements 1981 - 1995

83% satisfied with outcome

Dunbar MJ, Richardson G, Robertsson O. I can't get no satisfaction after my total knee replacement: rhymes and reasons. Bone Joint J. 2013 Nov.

Robertsson O, Dunbar M, Pehrsson T, Knutson K, Lidgren L. Patient satisfaction after knee arthroplasty: a report on 27,372 knees operated on between 1981 and 1995 in Sweden. Acta Orthop Scand 2000;71-3:262–267.

What about the

other

17%?

Predictors Of Outcome

Diagnosis Radiographic classification Anxiety/depression Unmet expectations Severe functional impairment Race/ethnicity Socioeconomic status

Judge 2012

Jacobs 2014

Judge 2012

Dunbar 2013

Lavernia 2009

Jacobs 2014, Lavernia 2011

Judge 2012

Appropriateness



Riddle DL, Jiranek WA, Hayes CW. Use of a Validated Algorithm to Judge the Appropriateness of Total Knee Arthroplasty in the United States: A Multicenter Longitudinal Cohort Study. Arthritis & Rheumatology 2014;66:2134–43.

Volume

Significant association between **low surgeon volume** and higher rate of infection (0.26% - 2.8% higher), procedure time (165 min versus 135 min), longer length of stay (0.4 - 2.13 days longer), transfusion rate (13% versus 4%), and **worse patient outcomes**.

Alignment

The Goal

The goal **was** initially pain relief and longevity/durability. The goal **now** is full function, satisfaction & a forgotten knee.

Alignment and implant positioning are key. Preserving the joint line and MCL isometry are the key.

Knees Come in All Shapes and Sizes

CPAK classification

Combines <u>limb alignment</u> & joint line obliquity



Distribution

- II: **most common** neutral alignment varus joint line
- I: 2nd most common varus alignment



Constitutional Varus

Is Neutral Mechanical Alignment Normal for All Patients?

The Concept of Constitutional Varus

Johan Bellemans MD PhD, William Colyn MD, Hilde Vendenneucker MD, Jan Victor MD PhD

CORR 2012



Ranawat Best Paper Award Recipent



Joint Line Obliquity

The human body favors a tibial plateau that is horizonal to the floor at heel strike.



Strategies

Fixed

Individualized

Consistent target for every knee

Prioritize native soft tissue balance

Novel Strategies

The goal of alternative alignment and position targets is to achieve a TKA which is:

- Well fixed
- Well balanced
- Meets expectations
- Durable

Mechanical Alignment

Gold standard

Last 40 years of TKA results

Aligns the femoral and tibial components **perpendicular to the mechanical axis** of each bone segment.

Achieves neutral HKA

Center of hip, knee and ankle are in a straight line.





Mechanical Resections

Femoral resection

perpendicular to mechanical axis

Tibial resection

perpendicular to mechanical axis



Mechanical Resections

Asymmetric bone cuts

(different from patient anatomy)

Intentionally ignored individual variation in alignment, morphology and biomechanics

Was designed to **optimize survivorship**



Releases

Medial stabilizers in flexion Deep MCL Superficial MCL

Lateral stabilizers in flexion LCL Popliteus

Parallel to joint in flexion Pes anserinus IT Band

Mechanical Alignment

V: **always the right answer** neutral alignment perpendicular joint line



Mechanical Alignment

- Over resect tibia laterally in flexion and extension
 - Artificially loosen medial side
 - Externally rotated femoral component
 - Over resect the posteromedial femur
 - Raises the medial joint line
- Does not restore joint line obliquity
Mechanical Alignment

Constitutional varus	NO
Joint line obliquity	NO
Well balanced	NO
Well aligned	YES
Reproduceable	YES

Anatomic Alignment

Early precursor to individualized alignment.

Aligns the femoral and tibial components **based on anatomic axis**.

Achieves neutral HKA in some cases.



Anatomic Alignment

II: **always the right answer** neutral alignment **varus** joint line

Never gained much traction because it only made sense for a subset of cases



Anatomic Alignment

Constitutional varus Joint line obliquity Well balanced Well aligned Reproduceable

NO YES NO MAYBE MAYBE

Kinematic Alignment

Prosthetic resurfacing of cartilage and bone accounting for loss

Recreates normal anatomy Ignores limb axes No soft tissue releases





Kinematic Axis



Kinematic Axis



Parallel to the joint lines

in extension



Parallel to the joint lines

and flexion



Resections based on unworn anatomy

to restore healthy joint state



Resection based on implant thickness

accounting for cartilage (and bone) loss



Resection based on implant thickness

accounting for cartilage (and bone) loss



Resurface femur

and assess the stability and motion of the knee and tibia

Tibial resection

Equal bone thickness medial and lateral



Knee resurfacing

Recreate joint lines Restore kinematics Restore pre-arthritic alignment



Technique

- More reproducible
 - Measure from bone surfaces instead of axes
 - Measure resected bone to match
 - Resurfacing operation
 - No change from pre-arthritic limb alignment



Randomized control studies show the survivorship with modern implants to be the same.

Outcomes

- 2.4 times more likely to be pain free at 2 years.
- Improved range of motion.
- Improved oxford knee scores.







150 mm









Outliers



Are these beyond the tolerance of the implants?

Kinematic Alignment

Constitutional varusYESJoint line obliquityYESWell balancedYESWell alignedMAYBEReproduceableMAYBE

Restricted Kinematic Alignment

Kinematic alignment with guardrails Limits amount of varus on the tibia

Restricted Kinematic Alignment

Constitutional varusYESJoint line obliquityYESWell balancedYESWell alignedYES*ReproduceableMAYBE

Inverse Kinematic Alignment

Kinematic alignment prioritizing tibial anatomy Technology dependent for execution

Inverse Kinematic Alignment

Constitutional varus	YES
Joint line obliquity	YES
Well balanced	YES
Well aligned	YES
Reproduceable	YES*

Functional Alignment

- Prioritize concentricity of MFC, trochlear anatomy
- Start at MA/KA, arrive at slightly different targets
- Technology dependent for execution

Functional Alignment

Constitutional varus	YES
Joint line obliquity	YES
Well balanced	YES
Well aligned	YES
Reproduceable	YES*

MA/AA / KA/rKA/iKA/FA

Prioritize the soft tissue envelope Care to respect the limits of biomaterials

Why?

Easier to balance

Better kinematics

Better outcomes



Institutional Support Stryker Arthrex Medacta Enovis Miach Orthopedics

Detailed disclosure information is available via:

AAOS Disclosure Program on the AAOS website at http://www.aaos.org/disclosure



Paid Consultant Stryker Medacta

Education

- 2004 <u>Computer Science</u>
 2008 Medical Doctor
 2013 Orthopedics
 2014 Hip & Knee Replacement
- 2020 Business Administration



The Alignment Tool





Computer Assisted Knee Replacement. Delp SL, Stulberg SD, Davies B, Picard F, Leitner F. CORR 1998:49–56.









Intra-Op Planning

Bone Preparation





Personalized Knee Replacement

More anatomic reconstruction Preservation of soft tissues around knee Bone preserving

Less pain More normal feeling knee Faster recovery

Significant variation in alignment choices and outcomes

MA/AA / KA/rKA/iKA/FA

Orthopedic robots allow us to make precise resections and collect data about resections, alignments & balancing choices from every case.

Choices that lead to better outcomes?

